

Daniela Grunow & Ewa Urywkow
University of Amsterdam, 2010

Comparison of context differences for Czech Republic, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, Spain, Sweden and EU average – Policies *

	Czech R.	Germany	Italy	Netherlands	Poland	Spain	Sweden
Policies							
Taxation of secondary earners, ¹ 2001	40%	50%	38%	33%	39%	21%	30%
Tax ratio of second earners to single person, 2001	1,9	1,5	1,6	1,2	1,3	1,6	1
Duration of leave entitlement, weeks ² , 2006/2007	164	162	47	29	174	162	84
Financial compensation during maternity leave (Full time equivalent), ³ 2004	69%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	80%
Dismissal protection OECD employment protection index, 0 (lowest) to 6 (highest restrictions), ⁴ 2008	2,32	2,63	2,58	2,23	2,41	3,11	2,06

Comparison of context differences for Czech Republic, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, Spain, Sweden and EU average – Policy outcomes

	Czech R.	Germany	Italy	Netherlands	Poland	Spain	Sweden
Policy outcomes							
% using licensed childcare 0-3 years old ⁵ , 2006	2,6%	13,6%	28,6%	53,9%	8,6%	33,9%	45,3%
% using licensed childcare 3-5 years old, 2006	82,3%	89,3%	99,4%	57,6%	40,7%	97,7%	85,6%
Maternal employment rates youngest child 0-2, 2006*	19%	53%	48%	71%	42%	52%	71,5%
...thereof in-work	12%	32%	41%	64%	35%	48%	45%
Maternal employment rates youngest child 3-5, 2007	52%	62%	50%	72%	53%	58%	80%
Share of women in labor force ⁶ , 2008	43%	45%	40%	46%	45%	43%	47%
Women employed part time ⁷ , 2008	6%	39%	31%	60%	14%	21%	20%
Women's share of part time employment, 2008	72%	80%	76%	76%	68%	81%	65%
Occupational segregation: Women's share of legislators, senior officials and managers ⁸ , 2008	28%	38%	33%	27%	36%	32%	32%
GEM Earned income (estimated) ratio of female to male ⁹ , 2007	0,57	0,59	0,49	0,67	0,59	0,52	0,67
Women's share of tertiary enrolment ¹⁰ , 2007	54,7%	----	57,2%	51,5%	57,4%	54,0%	59,9%

NOTES

* The structure of the table is based on Silke Aisenbrey, Marie Evertsson & Daniela Grunow. 2009. Is there a Career Penalty for Mothers' Time Out? A Comparison between Germany, Sweden and the U.S. In: Social Forces, 88 (2). 573-606.

All web sources last accessed in May 2010.

SOURCES

¹ source: May 2004, *Female Labour Force Participation: Past Trends and Main Determinants in OECD Countries*. OECD Economics Department.

² source: OECD Family database www.oecd.org/els/social/family/database

³ source: <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/indwm/ww2005/tab5c.htm>

⁴ source: <http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/42/4/42768860.xls>

⁵ source: www.oecd.org/els/social/family/database

* 2003 for Sweden;

⁶ source: <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/indwm/tab5a.htm>

⁷ source: <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/indwm/tab5b.htm>

⁸ source: <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/indwm/tab5d.htm>

⁹ source: <http://hdrstats.undp.org/en/indicators/130.html>

¹⁰ source: <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/indwm/tab4d.htm>